Difference	Subject Area	CEQA	NEPA
Substantive and Document Content	Substantive effect	Requires agencies to mitigate significant impacts when feasible	Requires such mitigation only for mitigated FONSIs; EISs need only full and complete discussion of mitigation
	Scope of project/action	Expansive definition of proposed project, covering whole of project	Allows limiting scope of those proposed actions with small federal handle
	Baseline for determining impacts	Normally requires existing conditions	Allows future no-action conditions
	Alternatives: IS/EA	Does not require alternatives discussion in ISs	Requires alternatives in EAs if project has unresolved resource conflicts
	Alternatives: EIR/EIS	Requires alternatives reducing proposed projectis significant impacts in EIRs; evaluation must be meaningful, equal level of detail not required	Requires full range of alternatives in EISs, evaluation in equal level of detail
	Final document	Response to comments and errata; no requirement to republish EIRs	Republish EISs to incorporate changes
	Socioeconomic analysis	Required in discussion of effects if socioeconomic impacts would lead to physical effects on the environment	Required in discussion of effects if socioeconomic impacts would lead to physical effects on the environment
Procedural Differences	Process oversight	Little OPR oversight	EPA EIS oversight and CEQ referral process
	Decision to prepare EIR or EIS	Requires EIR if fair argument of significant impact may be made	Requires EIS only if agency decision is arbitrary and capricious (unsupported by substantial evidence)
	Public notice and review: IS/EA	Requires public notice and review of ISs/NDs	Requires public notice, but allows more limited review of EAs/FONSIs
	Public notice and review: EIR/EIS	Requires public notice and review for Draft EIRs, not Final EIRs	Requires Federal Register public notice and public review for Draft and Final EISs
	Decision documentation	Requires written findings on mitigation of each significant impact, and statement of overriding considerations for unavoidable significant impacts	Requires less formal Record of Decision to explain project decision and which mitigation adopted
Litigation	Statutes of limitations	Short statute of limitations for legal challengesó30 days	No statute of limitations; <i>laches</i> doctrine may apply (unreasonable delay)

Figure 4
Major Differences between CEQA and NEPA